

Amendment and Response

Applicant: Cory Watkins et al.

Serial No.: 10/073,656

Filing Date: February 11, 2002

Docket: 1552 – CA2

Title: CONFOCAL 3D INSPECTION SYSTEM AND PROCESS

IN THE CLAIMS

Please cancel claim 1 and add claims 6-10.

1.(Cancelled)

2.(Original) A process of inspecting a surface including bumps thereon, the process comprising:

scanning a surface using optics and a camera capable of determining light intensity for each pixel viewed;
measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a first elevation;
measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a second elevation; and
determining the elevation of the surface using a Gaussian curve based upon the light intensities measured at the first and second elevations at each pixel.

3.(Original) The process of claim 2 further comprising:

scanning at least particular portions of a surface believed to contain protrusions extending outward from the surface using optics and a camera capable of determining light intensity for each pixel viewed;
measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a third elevation;
measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a fourth elevation; and
determining the elevation of the protrusions using a Gaussian curve based upon the light intensities measured at the third and fourth elevations at each pixel.

4.(Original) The process of claim 3 further comprising:

determining the height of a protrusion by calculating the difference between the elevation of a protrusion and the elevation of the surface.

Amendment and Response

Applicant: Cory Watkins et al.

Serial No.: 10/073,656

Filing Date: February 11, 2002

Docket: 1552 – CA2

Title: CONFOCAL 3D INSPECTION SYSTEM AND PROCESS

5.(Original) The process of claim 2 wherein an inspection device is used to perform the scanning and includes:

- a light source;
- a beamsplitter for receiving light from the light source and redirecting said light;
- an aperture array for receiving light from the pellicle beamsplitter;
- at least one reimager; and
- a camera for collecting focused light.

6. (New) The process of claim 2 further comprising:

- selecting portions of a surface believed to contain a protrusion extending outward from the surface via light intensity measurements at the first elevation and the second elevation;
- scanning at least the selected portions using optics and a camera capable of determining light intensity for each pixel viewed;
- measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a third elevation;
- measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a fourth elevation; and
- determining the elevation of the protrusions using a Gaussian curve based upon the light intensities measured at the third and fourth elevations at each pixel.

7. (New) The process of claim 6 further comprising:

- selecting a focus range such that the light intensities at both the first elevation and the second elevation are substantially zero at a protrusion.

8. (New) The process of claim 3 further comprising:

- selecting a focus range such that the light intensities at both the first elevation and the second elevation are substantially zero at a valley in the surface.

9. (New) The process of claim 3, wherein substantially zero light intensities at the third elevation and the fourth elevation indicate the absence of a protrusion.

Amendment and Response

Applicant: Cory Watkins et al.

Serial No.: 10/073,656

Filing Date: February 11, 2002

Docket: 1552 – CA2

Title: CONFOCAL 3D INSPECTION SYSTEM AND PROCESS

10. (New) The process of claim 3, further comprising selecting a focus range such that the light intensity at the third elevation and the fourth elevation is substantially zero in the absence of a protrusion.